

EFFECT OF VARIETY, IRRIGATION INTERVAL AND MULCHING OF MOTHER PLANT ON THE SEED QUALITY OF TOMATO (Solanumlycopersicum L.)

¹Gudugi, I. A. S, ²Odofin, A. J, ²Adeboye, M. K. A and ³Oladiran, J. A. ¹Department of Crop Production, Ibrahim BabadamasiBabangidaUniversity, Lapai. ²Department of Soil Science, Federal University of Technology, Minna.

³Department of Crop Production, Federal University of Technology, Minna Corresponding author: asigud@yahoo.com

ABSTRACT

A study to determine the effect of irrigation interval and mulching of the mother plant on seed viability and vigour was conducted in the Crop Production Laboratory of Federal University of Technology, Minna. Fully ripen fruitsfrom plants of four tomato varieties (Roma VF, Ibadan local, Ife 1 and UC82B) that were subjected to fourirrigation intervals (2, 4, 6 and 8) and mulching (with and without)wereharvested and the seed extracted from them were dried under room temperature at 25 °C for five days .Seeds from the four varieties were spread in open plastic Petri dishes and were subjected to 30 °C and about 70% relative humidity in a growth chamber to accelerate ageing for 16 weeks. Seed samples weredrawn and tested for germination and seedling emergence; seedling height and number of leaves per seedling at 0,2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 14 and 16 weeks of storage were also recorded.Irrigating tomato at 8 days interval resulted in poor seed germination.Although seeds extracted from fruits produced under mulching generally resulted in higher germination percentage compared to no mulching, the difference was only significant at 16 weeks after storage (WAS). The interaction effect of irrigation interval, mulching and variety on germination percentage was significant.

Keywords: Irrigation interval, seed quality, mother plant.

INTRODUCTION

The basic input in vegetable production is good seed. It has been reported that among the major constraints to vegetable production in Africais non-availability of good seeds (Adeboye*etal.*, 2000). Environmental conditions during plant growth and development especially during seed formation affect seed quality and therefore can affect seedling establishment in the next growing season (Zakaria*et al.*, 2009) and consequently affects the yield. Most farmers in developing countries produce tomato during the rainy season with comparatively less production during the dry season probably due to limited supply of water. And water limitation during growth and



development of plants is reported to affects seed yield and quality (Younesi and Moradi, 2009).Gharibet al. (2009) reported that irrigation interval of 7 or 14 days coupled with application of 86 kg N ha⁻¹ gave the highest grain yield in wheat and resulted in significantly higher seed storability up to 12 months. However, Ghambariet al. (2007) reported that irrigation intervals had no significant effect on germination index and germination percentage of pumpkin. Mulching is any material used in covering the soil in order to conserve soil moisture, lower soil temperature, reduce the impact of soil erosion and smoother weed growth. For instance Hanada (1991) reported that soil moisture content of bare soil in the carrot fields fluctuated as rainfall occurred but was quite stable in soil under mulching. Yong-Shan et al. (2007) reported significant effect of plastic film mulch on the modification of soil water content, temperature and nutrient status. Mulching was reported to enhance growth and yield of crops (Chaudhryet al., 2004; Tariq and Haider, 2001; Makoto and Shigekata, 1994; Hanada, 1991) and have been utilized to great advantage in the development of horticultural crops (Smolikowskiet al., 2001). Vieira et al. (1992) also reported that seed quality and vigour are influenced by various factors experienced during the growth and development. In fact variations in seed quality amongpopulations in some plant species have beenattributed to differences in environmental conditions of the mother-plant (Univalet al., 2011). The objective of this study was therefore to determine the effect of irrigation interval and mulching of mother plant on the quality of tomato seeds.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Four varieties of tomato (Ibadan local, Ife 1, Roma VF and UC82B) were subjected to four irrigation intervals (2, 4, 6 and 8 days) and two level of mulching (with and without) during the plant growth at the Teaching and Research Farm of Federal University of Technology, Minna (9°40'N and 6°30'E), in the Southern Guinea Savannah region ofNigeria. Rice straw was used as mulching material at the rate of 5 tones ha⁻¹ while about 90 liters of water was applied at each irrigation event to a plot size of 9m². Fruits were harvested when fully ripened and the seed/juice mixture (pulp) was removedimmediately and left to ferment for four days after which the seeds were washed under running tap water anddried at room temperature. The seeds were then



subjected to accelerated ageing at a temperature of 30°C and 70 % relative humidityin a growth chambers to determine the relative longevity of seeds from different treatments. The experiment was conducted in the laboratory of Department of Crop Production Federal University of Technology, Minna, Nigeria. Four replicates of 50 seeds were placed on water-moistened absorbent paper in Petri dishes and then incubated at 30°C. Germination counts were taken every-other-day for 28 days and the absorbent paper was moistened whenever is over be dried. In order to study seedling growth, three replicates of 10 seeds were sown into 5 kg of soil in plastic potson each sampling day. The pots were watered before planting and as at when due.Germination and seedling emergence rates were calculated according to Ellis and Roberts (1980).

 $\sum Dn/\sum n$ Where:

D = number of days from the beginning of germination or emergence test.

n = number of seeds that germinated or emerged on day D.

Data were collected on germination percentage and rates, seedling emergence and rates, seedling height and number of leaves. These were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) using the SAS (1999) package. Where significant, means were separated using LSD. The interaction effects were separated using DMRT. Significance was tested at 5 % unless otherwise stated.

RESULTS

Table 1 shows that irrigation interval (I) to which mother plants were subjected and variety (V) had significant effect ($P \le 0.05$) on seed germination even though the trend varied with storage period. Mulching (M) generally resulted in higher germination percentage compared to no mulching. However, the difference was only significant at 16 WAS. Germination test result before the seeds were subjected to storage condition indicated that there were no significant differences among seeds produced at 2, 4 and 8 days irrigation intervals.But these values were significantly higher than 6 days irrigation interval. Slight increases in germination percentage of seeds produced under 2 and 4 irrigation intervals and sizeable increase in those produced under 6 days irrigation intervals were recorded at 2 WAS while a converse observation was recorded under 8 days irrigation interval. There was a decline in germination percentage as from 4 to 6 WAS and this



continued throughout the storage period. The superiority of one irrigation interval over the other was inconsistent for the first 10 WAS. However, as from 12 WAS results revealed that seeds produced under 8 days irrigation interval germinated significantly lower than those of other irrigation intervals.

In most parts of the storage periods, seeds of Ibadan and Ife 1 germinated better than those of Roma VF and UC82B. Furthermore, UC82B was generally superior in germination to Roma VF. No significant interaction effect of irrigation interval and variety, irrigation interval and mulching and mulching and variety was recorded throughout the storage period. The interaction effect of irrigation interval, mulching and variety on seed germination was significant at 0, 2, 4, 8 and 10 WAS.

Seed germination percentage of mulched and unmulched treatment did not differ significantly within a variety under the same irrigation intervals (Table 2). Even though mulching of mother plant had no significant effect on the germination of the seeds produced, Table 2 reveals that the response to mulching varied with irrigation interval and variety. For example under 2 days irrigation interval unmulched Roma VF produced seeds with poorer germination but the value obtained was only significantly lower than that of UC82B with and without mulch at 0 to 8 weeks of storage and that of Ife 1 without mulch at 0 to 10 weeks of storage. At 4 days irrigation interval, mulched plants of Roma VF and unmulched plants of UC82B had seed with lowest germination but values were at par with all others except that from unmulched plants of Ibadan Local and Ife 1.



Lapai Journal of Science and Technology, Vol. 5, No. 1 (2019)

Table 1: Effect of irrigation interval, mulching and variety on tomato seed germination percentage

Factors									Stora	ge perio	d (weel	(s)
					0				2			4
6		8	10		12		14			16		
Irrigation interva	l (I)											
2 days				87a		91a		89a		77a		71a
43a	29a	16a	03a									
4 days				88a		90a		84ab		75a		65b
45a	32a	11b	04a									
6 days				77b		89a		80b		73a		63b
38b	19b	09b	02b									
8 days				91a		85a		87a		77a		73a
40a	16c	08c	01c									
Mulching (M)												
Mulch				90a		93a		86a		77a		70a
49a	22a	12a	04a									
No mulch				89a		95a		84a		74a		66a
40a	20a	10a	01b									
Tomato varieties	<u>(V)</u>											
Roma VF				85b		79c		75c		60c		55b
46a	20b	09c	02b									
UC82B				89)a		84b		83b		71b	
52b	32b	17c	24a	06	5a							
Ibadan local			91a		93a		91a		83a		80a	
49a	22a	11c	01b									
Ife 1				93	la		91a		90a		84a	
80a	44a	20b	16b	05	ia							



Lapai Journal of Science and Technology, Vol. 5, No. 1 (2019)

Interaction										
I x M				NS		NS	NS	NS	1	NS
NS	NS		NS	NS						
I x V				NS		NS	NS	NS	ľ	NS
NS	NS	NS		NS						
M x V					NS]	NS	NS	NS	NS
NS	NS		NS	NS						
VxMxI				*			*	*		NS
*		*		NS	NS	NS				

Means followed by the same letter(s) in the same column for each factor are not significantly different at $P \le 0.05$ using Duncan Multiple Range Test (DMRT). NS = Not significant. (*) Significant at 5 %



Table 2: Interaction effect between irrigation interval, mulching and variety on seed germination percentage

Before storage

Irrigation interval	Mulching						Variety
							Roma VF
UC82B	Ibadan local]	Ife 1				
2	Ν	Mulch		90a-g			97a
88a-g	8	34d-g					
No mulch 80g		(94a-f			84e-g	
93a-f							
4]	Mulch		80g		
89a-g	87c-g				89a-g		
]	No mu	lch	84e-g		
80g	94а-е				94a-d		
6]	Mulch		87c-g		
80g	95abc				94а-е		
]	No mu	lch	87c-g		
91a-g	96ab				91a-d		
8]	Mulch		89a-g		
91a-g	94a-f				97a		
]	No mu	lch	82fg		
84c-g	89a-g				94a-f		
$SE \pm$						1.76	
2 weeks after storage							
2]	Mulch		86b-g		
92a-e	89a-g				88a-g		
]	No mu	lch	65j		
86b-g	91a-f				92а-е		
4]	Mulch		81d-i		
80e-j	90а-е				90а-е		
]	No mu	lch	75f-j		
75g-j	93а-е				97a		
6]	Mulch		69ij		
80e-j	90a-g				91а-е		
]	No mu	lch	82e-j		
80e-j	96abc				89a-g		
8]	Mulch		94a-d		
85c-h	96ab				89a-g		



	63f-j	74a-g		85a-d	
				, 10 11	
	+711-1	70a	No mulch	71h-h	
	ð 19h-1	9 0 .2	Mulch	Jog-l 86ab	
	5/1	ð/að	Mulah	838-f	
	271	97.h	No mulch	39kl	
	47i-l	85abc	NT 11	66d-i	
	6	05.1	Mulch	321	
	54g-l	56g-l		83a-f	
			No mulch	43j-i	
	44i-l	84a-e		75a-g	
	4		Mulch	65e-I	
	61g-k	61g-k		67c-i	
		0	No mulch	56g-l	
	85a-d	75a-g		67c-i	
	2		Mulch	71a-h	
	8 weeks after stor	age			-
SE	±			2	1.90
	80c-1	95a	1.0	94ab	
		0	No mulch	73i-I	
	75h-l	91a-g	1,101011	93abc	
	8	200 B	Mulch	95ab	
	78f-1	90a-g	1 to muton	92a-f	
	· · 5 ·	<i>J2</i> u 0	No mulch	71ikl	
	77ø-1	92а-е	march	66kl	
	6	07 a -11	Mulch	67ii	
	79e-1	89a-h		70j-1 9∕1a	
	/ 1]-1	000-1	No mulch	95a-0 70; 1	
	4	88a i	whiten	/41-1 020 d	
	osa-j	92a-1	Mulah	93a-d 74:1	
	85a i	02a f	ino muich	041 020 d	
	ð 3 D-К	90a-g	No mulat	85a-j	
	2 92h lr	00	Mulch	/90-l	
<u>4 w</u>	eeks after storage		M 1 - 1-	7011	
SE	±				1.81
CT	90a-f	93а-е		90a-g	1.01
			No mulch	71hij	

_



Means followed by the same letter(s) in the same column for each factor are not significantly different at P \leq 0.05 using Duncan Multiple Range Test (DMRT).

Table 2 shows that the effect of irrigation on seed germination rate was significant at 4, 6, 8 and 16 WAS, mulching effect was significant at 0, 8 and 12 WAS while varietal effect was only isignificant at 2, 14 and 16 WAS ($P \le 0.05$). Faster germination were obtained under 4 days irrigation interval at all storage periods except at 10 and 16 WAS. However, the differences between it (4 days irrigation interval) and the slowest seed were only significant at 4, 6 and 8 WAS. Seed germinated faster under no mulch condition than when the plots were mulched, but the differences were only significant at 0, 8 and 12 WAS. The significant differences that existed among varieties did not follow any consistent trend throughout the storage period. Seeds generally germinated faster within the first 4 weeks and slower at about 8 WAS. There was no significant interaction among the different factors. The interaction effects of I x M, I x Vand M x V were not significant.

Table 3 shows that seeds produced at 4 days irrigation interval generally had the highest seedling emergence except at 4 WAS, while those produced at 8 days irrigation interval were generally the poorest. However, the differences between 4 and 6 days irrigation interval were insignificant at 0, 2, and 4 WAS, while the differences between 2 and 4 days irrigation interval was significant at 8 and 10, 14 and 16 WAS. Superiority of mulching was only recorded at 4, 12 and 14 WAS. The varietal effect on seedling emergence was significant almost throughout the storage period except at 0, 4 and 6 WAS. As from 8 WAS of storage, the highest emergence scores were recorded in Roma VF. The interaction effect between irrigation interval and mulching on seedling emergence was significant at 4 and 8 weeks(Table 4). Mulching of the mother plant under 2 and 4 days irrigation intervals resulted in the production of seeds that gave higher seedling emergence following 4 WAS (Figure 1); such trend was not recorded in superior seedling emergence following 8 WAS when the mother plants were subjected to 4, 6 and 8 days irrigation intervals; the reverse was the case under 2 days irrigation interval (Figure 2).



Lapai Journal of Science and Technology, Vol. 5, No. 1 (2019)

Table 3: Effect of irrigation interval, mulching and variety on tomato seed germination rate (days)

Factors										Storag	e period	l (weeks)	
						0		2			4		6
	8	10	12		14	16							
Irrigation int	erval (I)												
2 days			4.20a	4.66a		4.52b		6.31ab		7.86a		6.53a	
7.03a	ι	6.45a	6.94a										
4 days			4.13a		4.44a		4.41b		5.88c			7.11b	6.36a
6.52a	ι	6.11a	6.09ab										
6 days			4.26a		4.53a		4.65ab	6.47a			7.34ab	6.48a	6.97a
-	6.44a	6.39ab											
8 days			4.17a		4.61a		4.79a		6.04bc		7.27b	6.34	la
6.58a	ι	6.56a	5.72b										
Mulching (N	1)												
Mulch			4.30a		4.56a		4.65a		6.31a			7.79a	6.44a
7.06a	l	6.41a	6.35a										
No mulch			4.08b		4.55a		4.54a		6.05a			7.00b	6.42a
6.48t)	6.37a	6.22a										
Tomato varie	eties (V)												
Roma VF			4.51a		4.47a		4.85a		5.98b			6.66c	7.08a
6.82a	ıb	6.11a	6.04a										
Ibadan local		4.14b	4.67a		4.68ab	6.30ab		7.49b		6.03b		6.35b	
	6.46a	6.52a											
Ife 1	3.98b	4.52a	4.53bc	5.91b			7.24bc	6.49ab	7.27a			6.39a	6.82a
UC82B	4.14b 5.75a	4.57a	4.31c		6.53a			8.21a		6.11b		6.66ab	6.61a

Interaction



Lapai Journal of Science and Technology, Vol. 5, No. 1 (2019)

I x M NS		NS NS		NS	NS		NS			NS		NS		NS		
I x V		1.10	NS	NS	5	NS		NS			NS		NS		NS	
	NS		NS													
M x V					NS			NS								
	NS		NS		NS											
$I \ge M \ge$	V			NS		NS		NS		NS			NS		NS	
NS			NS	NS												

Means followed by the same letter(s) in the same column for each factor are not significantly different at $P \le 0.05$ using Duncan Multiple Range Test (DMRT). NS = Not significant



Lapai Journal of Science and Technology, Vol. 5, No. 1 (2019)

Table 4: Effect of irrigation interval, mulching and variety on tomato seedling emergence percentage

Factors											Storag	ge perio	d (week	s)	
						0			2			4			6
	8	1	0		12		14			16					
Irrigation in	terval (I)	_													
2 days					82ab			90ab			83a		85a		58b
44b		22a	03b		02b										
4 days					87a			95a			85a		83a		80a
54a		23a	06a		04a										
6 days					80ab			86ab			85a		70b		50c
35b		12b	01c		01c										
8 days					77b			83b			70b		68b		50c
29c		10b	01c		01c										
Mulching (N	<u>(h</u>														
Mulch					95a			81a			78a		75a		57a
42a		20a	06a		03a										
No mulch					80a			79a			73b		73a		55a
39a		16b	03b		02a										
Tomato vari	eties (V)														
Roma VF					84a			79ab			75a		78a		68a
45a		30a	04a		02a										
UC82B						83a			75b			75a		73a	
56ab)	35b	10c		02b		01b								
Ibadan local				88a			87a			74a		75a		56ab	
41ab)	20b	03ab		02a										
Ife 1						83a			77ab			77a		75a	
53b		40ab	19b		03ab		01b								
Interaction															



Lapai Journal of Science and Technology, Vol. 5, No. 1 (2019)

I x M		NS		NS	*	NS	*	
NS	NS	NS	NS					
I x V		NS		NS	NS	NS	NS	5
NS	NS	NS	NS					
M x V			NS	NS		NS	NS	NS
NS	NS	NS	NS					
I x M x V		NS		NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
NS	NS NS							

Means followed by the same letter(s) in the same column for each factor are not significantly different at $P \le 0.05$ using Duncan Multiple Range Test (DMRT), NS = Not significant. (*) Significant at 5





Figure 1: Interaction of mulching and irrigation interval on seedling emergence percentage at 4 WAS



Figure 2: Interaction of mulching and irrigation interval on seedling emergence at 8 WAS



Seedling emergence rate varied significantly (though with inconsistent trend) among the irrigation intervals (Table 5). Seedling emergence following seed storage at 0, 2, 4 and 6 weeks was significantly faster from seed produced by mulched plants than from those that were unmulched. The superiority of mulched over unmulched treatment however were absent as from 8 WAS. Though significant differences were recorded among varieties, the trend varied with storage period.

Seedling height was significantly affected by irrigation interval, mulching and variety (Table 6). Irrigation intervals of 2 and 4 days gave the best performance compared to what was obtained at 6 and 8 interval. Seedlings from seeds produced from mulched plants were only significantly taller than those from unmulched plants at 4, 6 and 10 WAS, no significant differences were recorded for the other seed ages. Though there were significant differences in seedling height among varieties, the trend was not consistent over storage periods. Within the first six weeks of storage, there was a general increase in seedling height which was followed by a decline. For instance, Roma VF seedling height increased from about 7.8 cm at zero WAS to about 24.5 cm at 6 WAS.Similarly that of UC82B increased from about 8.1 cm to about 20.1 cm during the same period. However, from 8 to 14 WAS, UC82B seedlings were significantly generally taller than those of other seedlings. Across the storage period, the average seedling height of Ife 1 was 11.40 cm as against 13.80 cm for each of the other varieties. The interaction effect of irrigation interval and variety on seedling height was significant at 0, 4, 6, 8 and 10 WAS

Figure 3 shows that Roma VF seedlings were taller than those of other varieties at all the irrigation intervals. However, the differences between its seedlings and those of Ibadan Local and Ife 1 were insignificant at 2 days irrigation interval. Similarly, where seedling height of Roma VF and UC82B was similar at 2 days irrigation interval, Roma VF seedling were significantly taller than those of other tomato varieties when seeds were produced at 4, 6 and 8 days irrigation intervals. Furthermore, whereas Ibadan Local and Ife 1 seedling were similar in length for seeds produced under 2 days irrigation intervals, seedling of former were significantly longer than those of the latter when seeds were produced under 4, 6 and 8 days irrigation intervals.



Figure 4 on the other hand shows that Roma VF seedlingswere taller than those of other varieties at 4, 6 and 8 days irrigation intervals. However, the differences between its seedlings and that of UC82B were not significant at 2 days irrigation interval. The seedlings of Ibadan Local were significantly taller than those of UC82B and Ife 1 produced at 6 and 8 days irrigation interval but similar to those of Ife 1 at 2 days irrigation interval.

Significantly more leaves were generally produced by seedling obtained from 2 and 4 days irrigation interval than from 6 and 8 days irrigation interval (Table 7). Mulching was superior to non-mulching at 2, 4 and 14 WAS. At8 WAS UC82B produced significantly greater leaf numbers than other varieties. There were general increases in leaf number from 2 to 6 WASand followed by decline thereafter.

The interaction effect of variety and mulching on number of leaves per seedling was significant at 6 and 8 weeks after storage (Table 7). At 6 WAS, Roma VF seedlings from seeds produced under no mulch conditionproduced significantly more leaves (13.17) than those from seeds produced under mulching (12.17) while the opposite was the case for Ife 1 in mulched and unmulched conditions respectively; mulching effect was insignificant in UC82B and Ibadan local (Figure 5). At 8 WAS, Roma VF, UC82B and Ife 1 seedlings from seeds of mulched environment were superior (10.83, 14.50 and 12.42 respectively) to those from the unmulched (10.00, 12.92 and 9.67 respectively). Mulching did not have a significant effect in Ibadan local (Figure 6).



Lapai Journal of Science and Technology, Vol. 5, No. 1 (2019)

Table 5: Effect of irrigation interval, mulching and variety on tomato seedling emergence rate (days)

Factors							Storag	e perio	l (week	s)			
					0		2	1	× ·	4		6	
8	10	12	14										
Irrigation interval (I)													
2 days			6.16ab 6.02a		5.67a		5.57c		6.19b		5.79ab	6.19a	
5.31ab													
4 days			6.09b	6.21a		5.78a		5.61c		6.18b		5.99a	
6.07a	5.53a												
6 days			6.14ab 6.21a		5.71a		6.25b		6.56a		5.76ab	6.33a	
4.62ab													
8 days			6.34a	5.72b		5.91a		6.67a		6.75a		5.50b	
6.17a	4.21b												
Mulching (M)													
Mulch			6.04b	5.91b		5.67b		5.87b		6.33a		5.71a	
6.27a	5.04a												
No mulch			6.33a	6.16a		5.87a		6.18a		6.49a		5.82a	
6.11a	5.79a												
Tomato varieties (T)													
Roma VF			6.62a	6.02a		5.63b		5.94b		6.90a		5.63b	
6.21ab 6.04a													
UC82B			6.08b		6.04a		5.97a		6.20a		6.38b		6.29a
5.97b	3.61c												
Ibadan local		6.09b	5.96a		5.48b		5.94b		6.30bc	: 5.54b		6.16ab	5.39ab
Ife 1			5.94b		6.14a		5.98a		6.03ab	6.09c		5.59b	
6.41a	4.61bc												
Interaction													



Lapai Journal of Science and Technology, Vol. 5, No. 1 (2019)

| I x V | | NS | 5 | NS | |
|-----------------|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| M x I
NS | | NS | |
| M x V | NS | | | NS | |
| V x M x I
NS | NS | | NS |

Means followed by the same letter(s) in the same column for each factor are not significantly different at $P \le 0.05$ using Duncan Multiple Range Test (DMRT). NS = Not significant.



Lapai Journal of Science and Technology, Vol. 5, No. 1 (2019)

Table 6: Effect of irrigation interval, mulching and variety on tomato seedling height at four weeks after sowing

Factors										Storage	period (v	weeks)	_			
							0			2	1	,	4		6	
8		10		12		14		16								
Irrigation in	terval (I)															
2 days					8.15b		14.93a	20.73a	23.24a	18.16b	16.70a	11.85b	10.40a	5.89ab		
4 days					9.89a		15.97a	20.60a	22.58a	19.72a	17.19a	12.74a	9.84a		6.63a	
6 days					6.09c		12.33b	16.77b	19.08b	15.12c	14.18b	12.28ab	8.03b		5.09b	
8 days					6.86c		11.79b	16.40b	17.77c	14.55c	13.00c	10.67c	8.59b		5.56b	
Mulching (N	<u>(N</u>															
Mulch					8.92a		14.14a	19.13a	21.24a	17.26a	15.17a	12.10a	8.06a		5.03a	
No mulch					7.84a		13.68a	18.10b	20.11b	16.52a	14.07b	11.63a	7.92a		5.12a	
Tomato vari	ieties (V)															
Roma VF					7.80a		15.72a	22.07a	24.54a	14.48c	13.40c	10.73c	9.25a		6.00a	
UC82B					8.11a		13.65b	15.40c	20.07b	20.00a	19.22a	14.72a	9.38a		4.02c	
Ife 1			8.07a		13.15b	15.84c	16.78c	14.45c	12.74c	9.12d		7.13b		5.41bc		
Ibadan local	1			7.11b		14.79a	21.01b	21.30b	17.89b	15.73b	12.99b	7.99b		5.41b		
Interaction																
I x M			NS		NS		NS		NS		NS	NS		NS		NS
N	S															
I x V		*		NS		*		*		*		*		NS		NS
N	S															
M x V					NS		NS		NS		NS		NS		NS	
NS	NS		NS													
I x M x V			NS		NS		NS		NS	NS		NS		NS	NS	NS

Means followed by the same letter(s) in the same column for each factor are not significantly different at $P \le 0.05$ using Duncan Multiple Range Test (DMRT), NS = Not significant. (*) Significant at 5 %





Figure 3: Interaction of irrigation interval and variety on seedling height four weeks after planting following four weeks of storage.



Figure 4: Interaction of irrigation interval and variety on seedling height four weeks after planting following six weeks of seed storage.



Lapai Journal of Science and Technology, Vol. 5, No. 1 (2019)

Table 7: Effect of irrigation interval, mulching and variety on number of leaves per seedling at four weeks after sowing

Factors												Storage	e period	l (weeks	5)	
								0		2		C	4			6
	8			10		12			14	16						
Irrigation inter	val (I)															
2 days					5.09b		8.70b		11.34b	14.08a		13.69a		10.63a		8.00ab
6.71a		4.89a														
4 days					6.66a		10.99a	12.99a	14.21a		12.17b		10.83a		8.67a	
6.79a		4.75a														
6 days					5.50b		7.60c		11.08b	с	12.46b		10.00c		8.96b	
7.85b			5.50b		4.68a											
8 days					4.16c		7.25c		10.00c	11.63b		9.50d			7.87c	
7.00c			5.29b		3.02b											
Mulching (M)																
Mulch					5.88a		9.75a		11.99a	13.09a		12.33a		9.45a		
7.92a			7.19a		4.03a											
No mulch					5.66a		7.88b		10.00b	12.79a		11.93a		9.39a		
7.87a			5.95b		4.44a											
Tomato varieti	ies (T)															
Roma VF					6.39a		7.83b		10.22c	12.67a		10.40c		7.80c		
7.53b			6.00b		4.05b											
UC82B						5.54b		9.17a		11.25b	с	13.92a		13.79a		12.62a
11.08a		8.04a		5.87a												
Ibadan local				6.27a		8.92a		13.54a	13.62a		11.87b		8.88b			7.38b
	5.29c		2.75c													
Ife 1						4.05c		7.95b		10.37c	12.17b		11.04b	c		9.17b
	6.18c			4.96c		4.25b										



Lapai Journal of Science and Technology, Vol. 5, No. 1 (2019)

Interactio	<u>n</u>												
I x M			NS	NS		NS		NS			NS	NS	
	NS		NS	NS									
I x V			NS	NS		NS		NS			NS	NS	
	NS		NS	NS									
M x V				NS		NS		NS	×	k	*		
NS		NS		NS		NS							
I x M x V	1			NS	NS		NS		NS		NS		NS
		NS		NS	NS								

Means followed by the same letter(s) in the same column for each factor are not significantly different at $P \le 0.05$ using Duncan Multiple Range Test (DMRT), NS = Not significant. (*) Significant at 5 %





Figure 5: Interaction of variety and mulching on number of leaves per seedling four weeks after planting following seed storage at 6 WAS



Figure 6: Interaction of variety and mulching on number of leaves per seedling four weeks after planting following seed storage at 8 WAS



DISCUSSION

Irrigation interval did not have a consistent effect on seed germination percentage within the first eight weeks of storage in this study. However, the significant poorer germination of seeds produced under 8 days irrigation interval compared to the values obtained at closer intervals agrees with the work of Younesi and Moradi (2009) which showed that water limitation during growth and development of plants affects subsequent seed quality. Water deficit during dill (Anethumgraveolens L.) seed filling has also been reported to reduce seed quality by Zehtab-Salmasiet al.(2006). Dormancy is known to occur in some varieties of tomato and it has been reported to be caused by abscisic acid (Hillhorst and Downie, 1996). The varietal difference displayed in this study in respect of seed germination and seedling emergence might be due to inherent genetic differences.Sabongari and Aliero (2004) recorded differences in the emergence of seedlings of different tomato varieties. Nooriet al. (2007) reported similar observation with canola cultivars under less irrigation in podding stage. Generally, there were decline in germination and seedling emergence percentages with seed ageing. This is in agreement with Palm et al. (1995) who observed that as age of Acacia senegalensis seed increased, seed germination percentage reduced. The varietal difference in seedling emergence might be due to inherent genetic difference. Soltaniet al.(2009) has reported loss in germination capacity as the final manifestation of seed deterioration and that, during ageing seeds lose their vigour and ultimately viability.

The initial improvement in seedling height and the number of leaves per plant as storage progressed in this study may have been due to the depletion of whatever factor thatmight have imposedlimitation to seedling growth with time. According to Chetri*et al.*(1993), ageing slows growth rate and would have affected plant height and plant biomass. The significant effect of irrigation interval, mulching and variety on seed germination and seedling emergence which was conspicuously displayed at the beginning of the storage became inconspicuous as the storage progressed.Tomato seeds generally germinated faster within the first four weeks after storage and slower as from about eight weeks after storage.This could be attributed to decline in vigour



which is associated with seed age (Ibrahim *et al.*, 2011) and it may probably be due to reduction in germination energy that is known to decline as seed ages (Bukvic*etal.*, 2007).

In conclusion, irrigating tomato at 8 days irrigation interval resulted in poor seed germination.

There were decline in seed germination and seedling emergence percentage as the seed ages.

REFERENCE

- Adeboye, M. A., Daniel, I.O. and Adetumbi, J.A. (2000). Utilization of improved seeds for vegetable Production: A survey of seed systems in South Western Nigeria. *Proceedings of the annu-al Conference of Horticultural society of Nigeria* 14th – 17th May, 1999
- Bukvic, G., Grljusic, S., Rozman, V., Likie, D., Lackovic, D. and Novoselovic, D. (2007). Seed seed age and pH of water solution effects on field pea (*Pisumsativum* L.) germination. *NotulaeBotanicaeHortiAgrobotanici Cluj-Napoca*, 35(1): 20 – 26
- Chaudhry, M.R; Aziz, M. andSidhu, M (2004) Mulching impact on moisture conservation soil pro-perties and plant girth, *Pakistan Journal of Water Resources*, 8, 34-44.
- Chetri, D. R., Rai, A.S. andBhttacharjee, A. (1993). Chemical Manipulation of Seeds Longevity of Four Crop species in an Unfavourable Storage Environment. *Seed Science Technology*, 21:31-44
- Ellis, R.H. and Roberts, E.H. (1980).Improved equation for the prediction of seed longevity.*Annals* of botany, 45:13 30
- Fenner, M and Kitajima, K. (1999).Seed and Seedling Ecology in Pugignaire F and Valladrares,
 F.
 (ed). Hand Book of Functional Plant Ecology, New York pp.589 621
- Ghambari, A., Nadjafi, F. and Shabahang, J. (2007). Effect of irrigation regimes and row arrangement on yield, yield component and seed quality of pumpkin (*Cucurbitapepo* L.). *Asian Journal of Plant Science*, 6 (7):1072-1079
- Gharib, A.F., Muhammad, I.E andAwadElkareem.M.A. (2009). Effect of Irrigation intervals and NPK on Yield and Seed Quality of Wheat (*Triticumaestivum L.*).Sudan Journal of Agricultural Research, (13): 37-46.



- Hillhorst, C. and Downie, B. (1996). Primary dormancy in tomato (*Lycopersiconesculentumcv*. Moneymaker): Studies with the *sitiens*mutant. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, 47(1): 89-97.
- Hanada, T (1991). The effect of mulching and row covers on vegetable production Chugoku publication of Agricultural Experimental Station, Ueno 200, Ayabe City, Japan. Tokyo press, pp 25.
- Ibrahim, H., Oladiran, J.A. and Habila, J. (2001). The effects of sarcotesta and storage temperature
- on the longevity of seeds of five papaya (Carica papaya) landraces. *International Journal of science and Nature*, 2(4): 727–732.
- Makoto, K. and Shigekata, Y (1994): Mulching effect of plant residues on soybean growth and Soil chemical properties. *Soil Science and Plant Nutrition*, 40: 211 220.
- Noori, S.A.S., Khalaj, H., Rad, A.H.S., Alhdadi, I., Akbari, G.A. and Abdi, M.R.L. (2007). Investigation of Seed vigour and germination of canola cultivars under less irrigation in padding stage and after it. *Pakistan Journal of Biological Science*, 10 (17): 2880-2884.
- Palm B., Voogt, G. and Neville, P. (1995). Endogenous factors that limit Seed germination of Acac ciasenegalensis Wild. Phyton. International journal of Experimental Botany, 57: 97-102
- Sabongari, S. and Aliero, B. (2004). Effect of soaking duration on germination and seedling growth of tomato (*Lycopersicumesculentum* Mill). *African Journal of Biotechnology*, 3(1): 47-51.
- Smolikowski, B. Piug, H. and Roose, E. (2001). Influence of soil protection techniques on runoff erosion and plant production on semi-arid hillsides of Cabo Verde. Agricultural Ecosystem Environment. 87: 67–80
- Soltani, E., Galeshi, S., Kamkar, B. and Akramghaderi, F. (2009). The effect of seed ageing on the seedling Growth as affected by environmental factors in Wheat. *Research Journal of Environmental Science*, 3:184 192
- Statistical Analysis Systems (SAS) Institutions Inc. (1999). User's Guide. SAS Institute/STATUser's Guide Version 8, 4th ed., 2: Cary, NC, USA.



Tariq Jan, M and Haider Ali, A (2001) Influence of sowing methods and mulching on yield and yield Components of wheat. *Pakistan Journal of Biological Sciences*. 65, 657 – 664.

http://www.ansijournals.com/pjbs/2001/657-65aric J9.pdf, 21st September, 2010

- Uniyal, A.K., Bhatt, B.P. and Todaria, N.P. (2011).Effect of provenance variation on seed and seedling characteristics of *Grewiaoppositifolia*Roxb.:a promising agroforestry tree-crop of Central Himalaya, India. Plant Genetic Resources Newsletter, 136: 47-53.
- Vieira, R.D., Tekrony, D.M. and Egli, D.B. (1992). Effect of drought and defoliation stress in the Field on soybean seed germination and vigour. *Crop Science*, 32: 471–475
- Yong-Shan, L.; Liang-Huan, W.; Lie-Mei, Z.; Xing-Hua, L; Qiao-Lan, F and Fu-Suo, Z (2007).Influence of continuous plastic film mulching on yield, water use efficiency and soil properties of rice field under non-flooding condition. *Soil and tillage research* 93, 370-378.
- Younesi, O. andMoradi, A. (2009). The effect of water limitation in the field on sorghum seedger- mination and vigour. *Australian Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences*, 3(2): 1156-1159
- Zakari, M.S., Ashraf, H.F. and Serag, E.Y. (2009). Direct and residual effects of nitrogen fertilizer, foliar application of potassium and plant growth retardant on Egyptian cotton growth, seed, yield and seed viability and seedling vigor. ActaEcologicaSinica. 29: 116-123
- Zehtab-Salmasi, S., Ghassemi-Golezani, K. and Moghbeli, S. (2006). Effect of sowing date and limited irrigation on the seed yiels and quality of dill (*Anethumgraveolens L.*) Turk Journal of Agriculture, 30: 281-286